

#### **Original Article**

Early operative outcome of pericardiectomy for symptomatic chronic tuberculous constrictive pericarditis

Azam Jan<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Imran<sup>2</sup>, Kunwar Muhammad Aqeel<sup>3</sup>, Muhammad Tariq Khan<sup>1</sup>, Sumreen Begum<sup>4</sup>, Amir Mohammad<sup>1</sup>, Syed Mumtaz Shah<sup>1</sup> & Imran Ali<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Rehman Medical Institute Peshawar, Pakistan
<sup>2</sup>College of Medical Technology, Ziauddin University, Karachi.
<sup>3</sup>Karachi Institute of Heart Disease, Karachi
<sup>4</sup>Stem Cells Research Laboratory, Sindh Institute of Urology and Transplantation (SIUT).
<sup>5</sup>Tabba Heart Institute Karachi Pakistan



Corresponding Author Email: imrmaan@gmail.com Received 14/01/2019 Accepted 26/03/2019 Published 01/06/2019



© The Author(s). 2019 Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)



# Abstract

**Background:** Pericardiectomy procedure is commonly indicated for constrictive pericarditis (CP). One of the most important clue to diagnosis of CP is the signs and symptoms of right-sided heart failure that are not completely explained by presence of pulmonary disease or left-ventricular failure. The aim of this study was to assess clinical data presentation and early operative outcomes of pericardiectomy performed for symptomatic chronic constrictive pericarditis who had failed maximal medical therapy.

**Methodology:** A retrospective analysis was carried out of consecutive constrictive pericarditis adult age patients who underwent pericardiectomy procedure from 2002 to 2012. The patients who had intraoperative diagnosis of other then constrictive pericarditis were excluded from further analysis. A total 51 patients were selected for this study. The preoperative assessment, intraoperative findings and postoperative course and outcome such as hospital stay, prolonge ICU stay, post-operative CPV etc. were evaluated.

**Results:** 43 males and 8 females were include in the study among them 41% gave history of previous (TB) and 35% were pediatric patients (teens, as shown less than 19 years). 8 patients had effusive type pericarditis. The preoperative findings revealed dyspnea 54%, Chest Pain 45% and persistent cough 31%. Furthermore, 43% patients had ascites and 41% with pleural effusion. The mean preoperative central venous pressure (CVP) was 20.5 respectively. The post-operative outcome was short hospital stay, the post-operative patients CVP was estimated 13.5 and the mean drop of CVP due to pericardiectomy was estimated 7 respectively.

**Conclusion:** The conclusive findings of our study revealed that among the patients of symptomatic chronic tuberculous CP, the timely pericardiectomy attempt can effectively improve the hemodynamics of the patient. The early pericardiectomy can also reduce the morbidity and mortality rate of these patients.

### Keywords

Early Operative, Pericardiectomy, constrictive pericarditis, symptomatic chronic tuberculous

Check for updates

### Introduction

Among tuberculosis (TB), one of the forms of this disease is extra pulmonary chronic tuberculosis. Tuberculous pericarditis (TBP) is considered as one of the most severe forms of extra pulmonary tuberculosis, causing death or disability in a substantial proportion of affected people. (CP) is diagnosed among these patients and it is not a common disease but it is often diagnosed in TB endemic areas<sup>1&2</sup>. The mortality was ranged about 17% to 60% among TBP patients reported that 26% of patients with presumed pericardial TB died within six months of diagnosis, despite antituberculosis therapy<sup>3&4</sup>. TBP is an important complication of infection with Mycobacterium tuberculosis can progress rapidly to constriction. Constrictive calcific pericarditis is relatively uncommon and still a real clinical entity due to the emergence of drug-resistant strains of TB in association with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome<sup>5&6</sup>.

CP can be suspected based on history and clinical examination but it is confirmed by imaging techniques like echocardiography and cardiac catheterization, which is considered mandatory to confirm the diagnosis<sup>7</sup>. The clue of diagnosis is the signs and symptoms of right-sided heart failure that is not confirmatory sign<sup>8</sup>. On auscultation at the left sternal border a high-pitched early diastolic pericardial knock after the second heart sound can be heard, it increases in intensity during inspiration<sup>9</sup>. This corresponds to the sudden and abrupt cessation in early ventricular filling caused by non-compliant pericardium<sup>10&11</sup>. The timely recognition of CP therefore permits the clinician to pick out the most appropriate therapy. Its prevalence changes with the incidence and treatment of TB12&13. The TBP is

clinically classified into three stages which include acute, subacute and chronic stages <sup>14</sup>.

Once symptoms are present and imaging confirms chronic constriction then usually surgery is the only effective therapy<sup>15</sup>. Surgical pericardectomy is a complicated procedure with high morbidity and mortality reported in literature. According to literature the complications may include excessive bleeding, atrial and ventricular arrhythmias, and ventricular wall ruptures<sup>16</sup>. Surgical management so far is the only effective treatment and can completely cure this disorder, but its mortality ranges from 5-15% due to the surgical complications<sup>17</sup>. Early mortality is high and in some research 30-days perioperative mortality reported was 6.1% respectively<sup>18&19</sup>. Patient mortality is strongly linked to the preoperative condition and stability of the patient. Some of the causes of death are progressive heart failure, infections leading ultimately to sepsis, renal failure, prolonged ventilation for respiratory failure, and fatal arrhythmias<sup>20</sup>.

Surgical treatment includes drainage of pericardial fluid in effusive pericarditis and removal of thickened pericardium from the surface of the heart and the great vessels including the aorta, pulmonary artery and the vena cavas<sup>21</sup>. Pericardiectomy is defined as the removal of a portion or all of the pericardium, this procedure is commonly indicated for constrictive pericarditis<sup>22</sup>. Other names used for this procedure are pericardial stripping or pericardial decortication (removal of thick cortex)<sup>23</sup>. The benefit of pericardiectomy is immediate usually with hemodynamic improvement and symptomatic improvement<sup>24&25</sup>.

Pericardiectomy can be a long and often technically complex procedure. Usually this procedure is done without the help of a cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) machine but in emergency situations e.g. uncontrolled bleeding, CPB machine may be needed<sup>26</sup>. The two standard approaches used for this surgery are via anterolateral thoracotomy and via a median sternotomy<sup>27</sup>. Despite the effectiveness of surgical therapy for the treatment of CP, there are disparate opinions about the role of corticosteroids in the treatment of TBP, the timing of the operation, the surgical approach, the degree of decortication, and the need for cardiopulmonary bypass surgery<sup>288/29</sup>. The aim of this study was to assess the clinical presentation and early operative outcomes of pericardectomy performed for symptomatic chronic constrictive pericarditis who had failed maximal medical therapy.

# Methodology

A retrospective analysis was carried out of all pericardial surgeries. We reviewed the medical records of all the patients after approval from the Institutional Review Board. The patients diagnosed with CP, who underwent pericardectomy surgery were selected among all the records between the year 2000 to 2012 at Rehman Medical Institute Peshawar, Pakistan. The patients who had an intraoperative diagnosis of other then CP were excluded from further analysis.

We retrieved data regarding their preoperative assessment, intraoperative findings and postoperative course and outcome. Data extraction forms were used to collect data. The history of previously diagnosed TB and history of anti-tuberculous treatment was especially observed. Findings from the clinical exam were documented initially from their admission, surgery history and stay duration. Data include the history of dyspnea, chest pain, fever, cough, palpitation, swelling in legs (edema), raised (JVP) and hepatomegaly. All preoperative laboratory findings were recorded for further review.

All patients were evaluated preoperatively by an infectious disease team (microbiologist) whose expertise included TB treatment. Patients were asked if they have had previously diagnosed tuberculosis and/or if they have had treatment for it.

Firstly, all preoperative Echos were reviewed to confirm the diagnosis of CP. The criteria used by our department to confirm CP diagnosis were based on the following hemodynamic hallmarks - increased interdependence of both right and left ventricles and dissociation of thoracic and cardiac pressures. We also looked at septal motion, mitral inflow pulse-wave doppler, medial and lateral tissue, doppler of the mitral annulus, filling and flow assessment using doppler of inferior vena cava and the hepatic veins was done. Echocardiographers also looked at the septal bounce or septal shudder which helps to identify the differences in right and left ventricle early diastolic pressures. The restrictive filling pattern which is identified by E/A ratio greater than 0.8 was measured by Doppler assessment of mitral inflow. Also the inferior cava size and its respiratory changes were assessed as it provides a non-invasive estimation of right atrial (RA) pressures. Presence of (IVC) dilatation and expiratory diastolic flow reversal was taken as a very specific sign of CP. Furthermore, all preoperative echocardiograms were evaluated for the presence of pericardial effusion and cardiac function. Abdominal ultrasound reports were checked for the presence of abdominal ascites. Preoperative chest X-ray was routinely done for all patients and we recorded the presence of pleural effusion on it.

All patients were operated with median sternotomy. Important points regarding the surgical technique used for these patients are as follows. In non-effusive pericarditis the parietal and visceral pericardium are fused and were separated together from the heart. In effusive CP the visceral pericardium is thickened and stripped off the surface of the heart. First it was dissected along the pulmonary artery to release the right ventricular outflow. A dissection plane was initiated by separating the thickened pericardium from the fat covering the cardiac surface. Using a combination of blunt and sharp dissection the plane was then extended. Scissors are used with tips up and scalpel was used in difficult areas also to continue with dissection. Fat helps in identifying the surface of the heart and is constantly kept under vision while dissecting, then the right and the left ventricle were released. Paid special attention to important areas i.e. SVC and IVC, usually a thick ring forms around these vessels that constrict and decreases inflow to the heart. These fibrous rings were completely dissected, pleural effusion and ascites if the present were drained simultaneously to decrease the risk of perioperative respiratory compromise. Drain the ascites by making an opening in the diaphragm, tissue biopsies of the resected pericardium were sent for histopathology. All of them showed multiple epitheliod granulomas surrounded by lymphocytes and giant cells indicating chronic granulomatous pericarditis.

Surgical notes and all pericardial biopsy reports were reviewed. Patients were labeled as

TB with\_CP based on the histopathological report and microbiologist specialist report to confirm the diagnosis. The specimens or sample include the culture of Mycobacterium Tuberculosis from pericardial fluid or tissue, pericardial tuberculosis granuloma stained with acid-fast bacilli or pleural tuberculosis. We monitored the patient's central venous pressure (CVP) using a central lumen catheter the right internal jugular in vein. Hemodynamic data include blood pressure, oxygen saturation, heart rate and ECG recordings were also evaluated. The raw data was entered first on data extraction sheets and then transferred to Microsoft Excel. Further analysis and graphical representation was completed using Microsoft Excel and expressed in percentage (%).

#### Results

The perioperative data of the patient was presented in Table I, 41% gave a history of previous TB and who had received some duration of anti-tuberculosis treatment. Out of these 35% were pediatric patients (less than 19 years of age). Preoperative echocardiogram was reviewed for effusions and 12 patients were found to have effusive type pericarditis (Figure I). Multiple preoperative and postoperative variables were studied while most common symptoms were dyspnea 54%, Chest Pain 45% and cough 31% (Table Ia). Abdominal ultrasound was done for patients with abdominal distention 43% of patients had radiologically confirmed ascites. All patients had a preoperative chest X-ray, 41% of patients had pleural effusion on chest X-ray (Table Ib). blood profile conducted were analyzed, preoperatively the most common lab abnormalities were high erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), high bilirubin and high alkaline phosphatase (Table Ic).

(a) Symptom/Sign	n	%
Dyspnea	28	27%
Chest Pain	23	23%
Fever	II	11%
Cough	16	16%
Palpitations	8	8%
Swelling in legs(edema)	12	12%
Hepatomegaly	4	4%
(b) Radiological findings on admission		
Ascites	22	22%
Pleural Effusion	21	21%
Pericardial effusion	12	12%
(c) Biochemical findings on admission		
High ALT	I	Ι%
High ESR (>15)	12	12%
Hyponatremia	4	4%
High Alkaline phosphatase	16	16%
High bilirubin	16	16%

#### Table I Preoperative data of patients

The intensity of the cardiac effusion among TCP patients was presented in Fig I, 76% of patients were with not any cardiac effusion, the 16.0% patients were presented with mild cardia effusion. Furthermore the 2% of patients were with severe and 6% were with moderate cardiac effusion respectively.



Figure I: The intensity of cardiac effusion among tuberculous constructive pericarditis patients

The post-operative outcome was evaluated in Table 2. The postoperative inpatient mortality rate was 6% and among the 7% of the inpatients the CVP was dropped. The 3 Patients remained in the ICU >48hr for postoperative recovery. The hospital stay of the patients was 5 to 14 days almost all patients had a drop in CVP, the preoperative CVP was 27 and post-operative CVP was measured 20.5 respectively.

Hospital stay(live patients) $(\bar{x})$	Minimum5 days
	Maximum 14 days
Prolonged ICU stay $>48hr(x)$	3 patients
	Maximum 13 days
Postoperative mortality	6%.
Central Venous Pressure(CVP) measurements ( mm of Hg)	
Maximum preoperative CVP	27
Preoperative $CVP(\vec{x})$	20.5
Postoperative CVP $(\bar{x})$	13.5
Drop in CVP with pericardectomy $(\bar{x})$	7%

Table2 Post-operative outcome of patients with tuberculous constructive pericarditis

#### Discussion

Pericardiectomy associated mortality is still very high despite advances in surgical and postsurgical techniques. However, postoperative mortality in our study was found low 6% respectively. Similar findings were noted by Zhu P 2015, the mortality and postoperative complications were approximately 5.4% and 23%, respectively<sup>30</sup>. Furthermore, Vistarini N 2015, noted mortality of 7.9% in the case of pericardiectomy<sup>16</sup>. The patients underwent in pericardectomy early on within 6 months after the symptoms & diagnosis which presented a lower risk of mortality. Preoperative clinical conditions and associated comorbidities are crucial in predicting the risk of mortality, and early operation seems to be the most appropriate choice. In our study the mortality rate is very low due to the early approach of pericardectomy. In Pakistan Malik A in 2015 studied 50 patients in the time period of 5 years, similar to our study patients the Tuberculosis was the main cause of constrictive pericarditis. In comparison to our study mortality associated with pericardectomy was higher 10%<sup>31</sup>. In this study the mortality and morbidity were low output stat with or without right heart failure. In a study very low mortality was also noted by Kang (2014) which was I.2%<sup>32</sup>. Gopaldas (2013) noted the hospital mortality in the United States of America (USA) was 7.5% among the CP<sup>33</sup>. The variation in the mortality depends on the severity of the disease and the early diagnosis. Tokuda Y 2013, a total of 346 patients who underwent isolated pericardiectomy for constrictive pericarditis nationwide. Logistic regression analysis revealed that the predictive factors for composite operative mortality or major morbidity were preoperative chronic lung disease.

Surgery is the only definitive treatment. Effusive/ chronic relapsing patients present most commonly with recurring episodes of pain and less commonly with compressive effusions and constriction. Ghavidel (2012) also support our hypothesis that cardiac effusion increased the complications among CP patients, in our study only 2% of patients were with severe cardiac effusion and the mortality was only 6% respectively<sup>34</sup>. Effusiveconstrictive pericarditis (ECP) is a clinical hemodynamic syndrome in which constriction of the heart by the visceral pericardium occurs in the presence of a compressive pericardial effusion. ECP is believed to be a rare manifestation of the pericardial disease that occurs as part of a continuum from effusive to constrictive pericarditis<sup>35</sup>.

The early pericardectomy also improve the hemodynamics in our study the preoperative mean CVP was only 20.5 and post operatively it was improved and maintained at 13.5 in Table: 2 respectively. CVP was dropped only among the 7% of the patients. Chowdhury UK (2017) noted the preoperative CVP 12 which was further reduced post operatively 8 respectively<sup>36</sup>. Furthermore constantly early and late results of pericardectomy of constrictive pericarditis patients were reported in many studies which were experience in similar to our study results. From our own it is evident that very few patients underwent a low cardiac output state after pericardiectomy procedure<sup>37&38</sup>. It has been proved that early pericardectomy improves the hemodynamics, cardiac output, ejection fraction, CVP postoperatively. In fact, our study confirmed that early pericardiectomy contributed to reducing the mortality rate. This reflected the importance of earlier recognition of the disease and their surgical management<sup>39&40</sup>.

The present study has certain limitations owing to the nature of the study no long-term follow-up data on survival could be obtained, and all outcomes were restricted to in-hospital outcomes. Second, the present study lacks the objective hemodynamic information such as the cardiac output, ventricular end-diastolic pressure, and central venous pressure. We only used the CVP to evaluate the preoperative and post operatively function of the heart which reflects the incomplete status of the cardiac status.

Despite these limitations, the present study is so far, the outcome of pericardiectomy for constrictive pericarditis in Peshawar Pakistan in the availability of limited modern surgical techniques. The recommendation of our study revealed that early pericardectomy among the patients can reduce the related CP complications and mortality rate. Further, the risk factors regarding pericardectomy can be evaluated by using modern statistical techniques.

### Conclusion

In summary, surgical pericardiectomy remains a procedure for the patients of symptomatic chronic tuberculosis although relatively high morbidity and mortality rates. Pericardiectomy is a safe treatment option in those who failed medical therapy but with a timely diagnosis. The early surgical intervention of pericardiectomy among these patients can effectively improve the hemodynamics and can contribute to improving the surgical outcome with reduced mortality rate.

# **Conflicts of Interest**

None.

# Acknowledgment

We acknowledge the members of the cardiac surgical team of the Rehman Medical Institute Peshawar, Pakistan who helped to get data for the present study.

### Funding

The Rehman Medical Institute Peshawar facilitated to complete the study.

### References

- Noubiap, J. J., Agbor, V. N., Ndoadoumgue, A. L., Nkeck, J. R., Kamguia, A., Nyaga, U. F., & Ntsekhe, M. (2019). Epidemiology of pericardial diseases in Africa: a systematic scoping review. Heart, 105(3), 180-188.
- Pasipanodya, J. G., Mubanga, M., Ntsekhe, M., Pandie, S., Magazi, B. T., Gumedze, F., ... & Mayosi, B. M. (2015). Tuberculous pericarditis is multibacillary and bacterial burden drives high mortality. EBioMedicine, 2(11), 1634-1639.
- 3) Muttath R, Andrews M, Prabhu D. Treatment outcome in new smear positive pulmonary tuberculosis patients with and without immunosuppression on RNTCP regimen: a comparative observational study. International Journal of Research in Medical Sciences. 2017 Feb;5(2):384.
- Pusch T, Pasipanodya JG, Hall RG, Gumbo T. Therapy duration and longterm outcomes in extra-pulmonary tuberculosis. BMC infectious diseases. 2014 Dec;14(1):115.
- 5) Ristić AD, Simeunović DS, Đukić P, Kočica M, Božić V, Vujisić-Tešić B, Milinković I, Živković I, Kanjuh V, Seferović PM. Differential diagnosis and management of chronic pericarditis in the context of 2015 ESC guidelines on pericardial diseases. Srce i krvnisudovi. 2016; 35(I):32-5.

- Matsumoto T, Otsuka K, Imai Y, Tomii K. Bacterial Pericarditis Accompanied by Sudden Cardiac Tamponade After Transbronchial Needle Aspiration Cytology. Journal of bronchology& interventional pulmonology. 2016 Apr I; 23(2):155-9.
- Alajaji W, Xu B, Sripariwuth A, Menon V, Kumar A, Schleicher M, Isma'eel H, Cremer PC, Bolen MA, Klein AL. Noninvasive Multimodality Imaging for the Diagnosis of Constrictive Pericarditis: A Contemporary Review. Circulation: Cardiovascular Imaging. 2018 Nov;11(11):e007878.
- Kyriakakis CG, Mayosi BM, De Vries E, Isaacs A, Doubell AF. An approach to the patient with suspected pericardial disease. SAMJ: South African Medical Journal. 2016 Feb;106(2):151-5.
- 9) Beckmann E, Ismail I, Cebotari S, Busse A, Martens A, Shrestha M, Kühn C, Haverich A, Fegbeutel C. Right-sided heart failure and extracorporeal life support in patients undergoing pericardiectomy for constrictive pericarditis: a risk factor analysis for adverse outcome. The Thoracic and cardiovascular 2017 surgeon. Dec;65(08):662-70.
- 10) Beckmann E, Ismail I, Cebotari S, Busse A, Martens A, Shrestha M, Kühn C, Haverich A, Fegbeutel C. Right-sided heart failure and extracorporeal life undergoing support in patients pericardiectomy for constrictive pericarditis: a risk factor analysis for adverse outcome. The Thoracic and cardiovascular surgeon. 2017 Dec; 65(08):662-70.

- II) Higgins JP. Physical Examination of the Cardiovascular System. Int J ClinCardiol. 2015; I:019.
- 12) Welch TD. Constrictive pericarditis: diagnosis, management and clinical outcomes. Heart. 2018 May 1;104(9):725-31.
- 13) Power JA, Thompson DV, Rayarao G, Doyle M, Biederman RW. Cardiac magnetic resonance radiofrequency tissue tagging for diagnosis of constrictive pericarditis: A proof of concept study. The Journal of thoracic and cardiovascular surgery. 2016 May I;151(5):1348-55.
- 14) Ramasamy V, Mayosi BM, Sturrock ED, Ntsekhe M. Established and novel pathophysiological mechanisms of pericardial injury and constrictive pericarditis. World Journal of Cardiology. 2018 Sep 26;10(9):87.
- 15) Wiysonge CS, Ntsekhe M, Thabane L, Volmink J, Majombozi D, Gumedze F, Pandie S, Mayosi BM. Interventions for treating tuberculous pericarditis. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2017(9).
- 16) Vistarini N, Chen C, Mazine A, Bouchard D, Hebert Y, Carrier M, Cartier R, Demers P, Pellerin M, Perrault LP. Pericardiectomy for constrictive pericarditis: 20 years of experience at the Montreal Heart Institute. The Annals of thoracic surgery. 2015 Jul 1;100(1):107-13.
- 17) Zhu P, Mai M, Wu R, Lu C, Fan R, Zheng S. Pericardiectomy for constrictive pericarditis: single-center experience in China. Journal of cardiothoracic surgery. 2015 Dec;10(1):34.

- 18) Busch C, Penov K, Amorim PA, Garbade J, Davierwala P, Schuler GC, Rastan AJ, Mohr FW. Risk factors for mortality after pericardiectomy for chronic constrictive pericarditis in a large singlecentre cohort. European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery. 2015 Sep 15; 48(6):e110-6.
- 19) Vistarini N, Chen C, Mazine A, Bouchard D, Hebert Y, Carrier M, Cartier R, Demers P, Pellerin M, Perrault LP. Pericardiectomy for constrictive pericarditis: 20 years of experience at the Montreal Heart Institute. The Annals of thoracic surgery. 2015 Jul I; 100(1):107-I3.
- 20) Busch C, Penov K, Amorim PA, Garbade J, Davierwala P, Schuler GC, Rastan AJ, Mohr FW. Risk factors for mortality after pericardiectomy for chronic constrictive pericarditis in a large singlecentre cohort. European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery. 2015 Sep 15;48(6):e110-6.
- 21) Depboylu BC, Mootoosamy P, Vistarini N, Testuz A, El-Hamamsy I, Cikirikcioglu M. Surgical treatment of constrictive pericarditis. Texas Heart Institute Journal. 2017 Apr;44(2):101-6.
- 22) Hemmati P, Greason KL, Schaff HV. Contemporary techniques of pericardiectomy for pericardial disease. Cardiology clinics. 2017 Nov 30;35(4):559-66.
- 23) Sorajja P, Hoit BD. Differentiating constrictive pericarditis and restrictive cardiomyopathy. UpToDate, Post, TW (Ed), UpToDate, Waltham, MA. 2016.
- 24) Yasuhara J, Omori S, Maeda J, Nakagawa N, Kamada M, Kosaki K, Aeba R, Yamagishi H. Successful Total

Pericardiectomy for Constrictive Pericarditis in the First Series of Japanese Patients With MulibreyNanism. Canadian Journal of Cardiology. 2018 May I; 34(5):690-e5.

- 25) Nishimura S, Izumi C, Amano M, Imamura S, Onishi N, Tamaki Y, Enomoto S, Miyake M, Tamura T, Kondo H, Kaitani K. Long-Term Clinical Outcomes and Prognostic Factors After Pericardiectomy for Constrictive Pericarditis in a Japanese Population. Circulation Journal. 2017 Jan 25; 81(2):206-12.
- 26) Balaram SK, Teng A, Praeger J. Surgical Management of Pericardial Disease. InManagement of Pericardial Disease 2014 (pp. 149-165). Springer, Cham.
- 27) Chowdhury UK, Narang R, Malhotra P, Choudhury M, Choudhury A, Singh SP. Indications, timing and techniques of radical pericardiectomy via modified left anterolateral thoracotomy (ukc's modification) and total pericardiectomy via median sternotomy (holman and willett) without cardiopulmonary bypass. Journal of the Practice of Cardiovascular Sciences. 2016 Jan I; 2(I):17.
- 28) Nishimura S, Izumi C, Amano M, Imamura S, Onishi N, Tamaki Y, Enomoto S, Miyake M, Tamura T, Kondo H, Kaitani K. Long-term clinical outcomes and prognostic factors after pericardiectomy for constrictive pericarditis in a Japanese population. Circulation Journal. 2016:CJ-16.
- 29) Travaglini S, Bonalumi G, Naliato M, Cavallotti L, Dozio A, Alamanni F. Rf60 Pericardiectomy: Etiologies, Risk Factors And Outcomes, Single-centre Experience.

Journal of Cardiovascular Medicine. 2018 Nov 1;19:e76-7.

- 30) Zhu P, Mai M, Wu R, Lu C, Fan R, Zheng S. Pericardiectomy for constrictive pericarditis: single-center experience in China. Journal of cardiothoracic surgery. 2015 Dec;10(1):34.
- 31) Malik A, Ullah I, Sheer A, Shah SM. Outcomes of pericardiectomy in tertiary teaching hospital of khyber pakhtunkhwa. Pakistan Journal of Chest Medicine. 2015;21(1):15-9.
- 32) Kang SH, Song JM, Kim M, Choo SJ, Chung CH, Kang DH, Song JK. Prognostic predictors in pericardiectomy for chronic constrictive pericarditis. The Journal of thoracic and cardiovascular surgery. 2014 Feb 1;147(2):598-605.
- 33) Gopaldas RR, Dao TK, Caron NR, Markley JG. Predictors of in-hospital complications after pericardiectomy: a nationwide outcomes study. The Journal of thoracic and cardiovascular surgery. 2013 May 1;145(5):1227-33.
- 34) Tokuda Y, Miyata H, Motomura N, Araki Y, Oshima H, Usui A, Takamoto S, Japan Adult Cardiovascular Database Organization. Outcome of pericardiectomy for constrictive pericarditis in Japan: a nationwide outcome study. The Annals of thoracic surgery. 2013 Aug 1;96(2):571-6.
- 35) Van der Bijl P, Herbst P, Doubell AF.
  Redefining effusive-constrictive pericarditis with echocardiography.
  Journal of cardiovascular ultrasound.
  2016 Dec 1;24(4):317-23.
- 36) Chowdhury UK, Kapoor PM, Rizvi A, Malik V, Seth S, Narang R, Kalaivani M, Singh SP, Selvam S. Serial semi-invasive hemodynamic assessment following

pericardiectomy for chronic constrictive pericarditis. Annals of cardiac anaesthesia. 2017 Apr;20(2):169.

- 37) Szabó G, Schmack B, Bulut C, Soós P, Weymann A, Stadtfeld S, Karck M. Constrictive pericarditis: risks, aetiologies and outcomes after total pericardiectomy: 24 years of experience. European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery. 2013 Jun 12;44(6):1023-8.
- 38) Bashi VV, John S, Ravikumar E, Jairaj PS, Shyamsunder K, Krishnaswami S. Early and late results of pericardiectomy in 118 cases of constrictive pericarditis. Thorax. 1988 Aug I;43(8):637-41.
- 39) Avgerinos D, Rabitnokov Y, Worku B, Neragi-Miandoab S, Girardi LN. Fifteen-Year Experience and Outcomes of Pericardiectomy for Constrictive Pericarditis. Journal of Cardiac Surgery: Including Mechanical and Biological Support for the Heart and Lungs. 2014 Jul;29(4):434-8.
- 40) Omoto T, Minami K, Varvaras D, Böthig D, Körfer R. Radical pericardiectomy for chronic constrictive pericarditis. Asian Cardiovascular and Thoracic Annals. 2001 Dec;9(4):286-90.