



Copyright © The Author(s). 2023 This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.



DOI: 10.29052/2413-4252.v9.i1.2023.41-46

Citation: Ahmed S, Sajid U, Noushad S, Malik A. Holistic Examination of Women's Experiences in Academia. *IJWE*. 2023; 9(1): -X-X

Corresponding Author Email:
ujala@aeirc-edu.com

Funding: The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.

Conflicts of Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Received 12/03/2023

Accepted 14/09/2023

First Published 01/12/2023

Original Article

Holistic Examination of Women's Experiences in Academia.

Sadaf Ahmed¹ , Ujala Sajid^{1,2} , Shamoon Noushad²  & Aima Malik³

¹University of Karachi, Karachi-Pakistan

²Malir University of Science and Technology, Karachi-Pakistan

³Hamdard Public School, Karachi-Pakistan

Abstract

This study investigates persistent gender disparities and challenges faced by women in academia, focusing on harassment, intersectionality, and the leaky pipeline. Employing mixed methods, it reveals varied prevalence of harassment types and identifies institutional support gaps. Thematic analysis uncovers emotional tolls, coping mechanisms, and the role of institutional culture, while correlation analysis highlights positive relationships between support and career progression. Recommendations include implementing clear reporting mechanisms and diversity training. The study emphasizes the need for ongoing research to assess the effectiveness of interventions. In conclusion, targeted strategies are crucial for creating an equitable and supportive academic environment.

Keywords

Holistic, Examination, Women Experience, Academia.

Introduction

In the academia, persistent gender disparities continue to hinder the advancement of women. Despite notable strides in recent decades, women still face systemic challenges that impede their professional growth. Recognizing these disparities is crucial for creating a more equitable academic environment¹. The need to understand and address the specific challenges confronted by women is underscored by the profound impact it has not only on individual careers but also on the overall diversity and richness of academic discourse.

Existing literature highlights the prevalence and profound impact of harassment in academic settings. Numerous studies underscore how harassment not only affects the well-being of individuals but also perpetuates a culture of silence and inhibits the free exchange of ideas². However, despite the growing acknowledgment of this issue, there are significant gaps in the literature that the current study seeks to address. Many studies often narrowly focus on specific types of harassment, leaving a comprehensive understanding of the varied challenges women face in academia incomplete. The concept of intersectionality is paramount in understanding the complex experiences of women in academia³. This literature review delves into the intersections of gender, ethnicity, and race, emphasizing how these factors compound challenges. While some research acknowledges the importance of considering multiple identities, there remains a need for more in-depth exploration. The leaky pipeline metaphor encapsulates the attrition of women at various career stages in academia⁴. Harassment is recognized as a significant contributor to this phenomenon, particularly during the crucial early career stages. Previous research has shed light on these attrition patterns, emphasizing the need for targeted interventions to retain talented women in academia. Building upon this foundation, the current study aims to further explore the dynamics of the leaky pipeline, offering insights into potential strategies for mitigating attrition and promoting a more sustainable and diverse academic community. Within this context, the study places a particular emphasis on the pervasive issues of harassment,

intersectionality, and the leaky pipeline. Harassment in academia remains a pervasive issue that extends beyond mere interpersonal conflicts; it affects career trajectories and contributes to attrition rates⁴. The study contends that a comprehensive examination of these challenges is essential for fostering an inclusive academic environment. By acknowledging and addressing these issues head-on, academia can move towards becoming a more welcoming space where all individuals, irrespective of gender, can contribute meaningfully to the advancement of knowledge⁵. The primary objectives of this study are twofold. Firstly, it aims to explore the diverse types of harassment experienced by women in academia. Understanding the nuances of verbal abuse, microaggressions, sexual harassment, bullying, and gender bias is vital for tailoring effective interventions. Secondly, the study seeks to unravel the intersectionality of challenges faced by women. By examining how factors such as ethnicity and race intersect with gender, the research strives to provide a comprehensive understanding of the unique obstacles encountered by women from diverse backgrounds. Lastly, the study aims to delineate the impact of these challenges on career outcomes, particularly in contributing to the leaky pipeline phenomenon⁶⁻⁸.

Methodology

Research Design:

This research employs a mixed-methods approach, combining both quantitative and qualitative methodologies to provide a comprehensive understanding of the challenges and harassment faced by women in academia.

Data Collection:

Quantitative Phase: Utilized structured questionnaire to collect numerical data on the prevalence and perception of harassment, with questions related to different types of harassment, career progression, and institutional support.

Qualitative Phase: Conducted in-depth interviews with a purposive sample of women from diverse academic backgrounds to gather detailed

narratives and insights into their experiences with harassment.

Participants:

Women currently employed or previously employed in academia, spanning various career stages and academic disciplines were recruited with ensured diversity in terms of ethnicity, race, cultural background, and geographical location. A minimum of 90 participants for the survey and 30 participants for interviews is targeted.

Data Analysis:

Survey data was analyzed using descriptive statistical methods to present an overview of the prevalence and patterns of harassment. While

inferential Statistics was used to identify correlations and relationships between variables. Qualitative thematic analysis was done to identify recurring themes, patterns, and categories within the qualitative data. Content Analysis was used to analyze interview transcripts to extract meaningful insights and quotes that illustrate participants' experiences.

Ethical Considerations:

Ensuring that participants are fully informed about the study's purpose, procedures, and potential risks. Consent was obtained before participation. To Guarantee the confidentiality of participants anonymous identifiers were used in reporting findings and storing data securely.

Results

Table 1: Prevalence of Harassment.

Types of Harassment	Percentage of Respondents
Verbal Abuse	29
Microaggressions	47
Sexual Harassment	17
Bullying	39
Gender Bias	41

Table 2: Institutional Support.

Institutional Support Metrics	Perception (Scale 1-5)
Overall Support	2.3
Reporting Mechanisms	3.2

Table 3: Career Progression.

Career Metrics	Impact of Harassment (Scale 1-5)
Promotion Opportunities	3.8
Leadership Roles	3.7
Job Satisfaction	4.7

Table 4: Thematic Analysis.

Themes	Key Findings
Emotional Toll	Participants expressed significant emotional distress.
Coping Mechanisms	Coping mechanisms included seeking mentorship and support.

Institutional Culture	A toxic institutional culture contributed to harassment.
------------------------------	--

Table 5: Experiences of Silencing.

Silencing Factors	Impact on Open Discourse
Fear of Retaliation	Fear hindered open discussions and reporting.
Self-Censorship	Participants admitted to self-censorship due to harassment.

Table 5. The Leaky Pipeline:

Attrition Factors	Patterns of Attrition
Early Career Challenges	High attrition observed in the early stages.
Mentorship Impact	Limited mentorship contributes to attrition.

Correlation Analysis of Intersectionality and Career Progression indicated a positive correlation between a supportive institutional culture and career progression for women from various ethnic backgrounds. Institutions fostering inclusivity were associated with increased opportunities for career advancement, regardless of ethnicity. Participants from specific ethnic backgrounds reported facing more significant challenges in accessing mentorship and networking opportunities, correlating with a slower career progression. This suggests a need for targeted mentorship programs to address disparities⁹.

Negative correlations were noted between experiences of harassment and career progression, with women reporting more instances of harassment facing slower career advancement. The negative impact was particularly pronounced in instances where race intersected with gender, emphasizing the need for targeted interventions. Institutions with a strong commitment to diversity and inclusion were associated with more positive career trajectories for women of various racial backgrounds. This highlights the potential benefits of fostering inclusive institutional cultures¹⁰⁻¹².

Higher perceived support from reporting mechanisms was correlated with increased job satisfaction. Participants who felt that reporting mechanisms were effective in addressing harassment reported higher levels of satisfaction with their roles within academia. A lack of

confidence in reporting mechanisms correlated with lower job satisfaction. Women who expressed doubts about the efficacy of reporting systems were more likely to experience decreased satisfaction with their academic positions.

A positive correlation was found between overall institutional support and job satisfaction. Participants who perceived strong institutional support, encompassing policies, mentorship programs, and a commitment to diversity, reported higher levels of job satisfaction. The absence of comprehensive institutional support, particularly in the form of mentorship initiatives, was associated with lower job satisfaction. Participants stressed the importance of holistic support systems for fostering satisfaction and well-being in academia¹³⁻¹⁷.

Discussion

The study revealed that women from diverse ethnic backgrounds experience harassment in unique ways, indicating the influence of intersectionality. While there were shared challenges, such as microaggressions and gender bias, participants emphasized that their experiences were often compounded by stereotypes related to their ethnicity¹³. For example, women from specific ethnic groups reported instances where cultural norms contributed to the normalization of certain types of harassment. This intersectional lens underscores the importance of tailored interventions that consider both gender and

ethnicity. Institutions should recognize the specific challenges faced by women from different ethnic backgrounds and implement targeted programs to address these intersectional dynamics. Additionally, fostering cultural awareness and sensitivity within academic environments can contribute to a more inclusive atmosphere. Participants shared various coping mechanisms, with mentorship emerging as a central theme. Women who actively sought mentorship, both formal and informal, reported better resilience against harassment. However, a notable finding was the limited availability of mentorship opportunities, especially for women facing intersectional challenges. The study suggests that mentorship programs should be designed to address the specific needs of women from diverse backgrounds.

The study also identified attrition patterns, particularly during early career stages, influenced by harassment experiences. Women facing challenges such as bullying, microaggressions, and limited mentorship opportunities were more likely to exit academia prematurely. The leaky pipeline phenomenon, exacerbated by these harassment-related factors, underscores the urgency of addressing these issues to retain talented women in academia. Institutions must recognize the role of harassment in the attrition of women from academia and take proactive measures to provide comprehensive support. Strategies may include targeted mentorship programs, transparent reporting mechanisms, and initiatives promoting an inclusive and supportive academic culture. Addressing harassment-induced attrition is crucial for ensuring a diverse and sustainable academic community. The study highlighted the pivotal role of institutional culture in shaping the experiences of women in academia. Institutions fostering open communication, accountability, and inclusivity reported lower incidences of harassment. On the contrary, toxic cultures that tolerated or ignored harassment perpetuated an environment conducive to attrition.

While the study provides valuable insights, it is essential to acknowledge its limitations. The self-

reporting nature of the data introduces potential biases, and the study's cross-sectional design limits the ability to establish causal relationships. Additionally, the research may not capture the full spectrum of intersectional experiences, and further exploration is needed to understand regional and cultural variations.

Recommendations

The study recommends implementing clear reporting mechanisms, regular diversity training, and fostering a culture rejecting harassment in academia. A holistic approach, integrating policies, awareness campaigns, and institutional initiatives, is suggested to create an environment where women feel valued. For future research, the study encourages a deeper investigation into the effectiveness of tailored mentorship programs and understanding mentorship dynamics in different cultural contexts. Longitudinal designs are advised to track the long-term impact of harassment on women's careers, exploring regional and cultural variations. Continuous research should assess the effectiveness of interventions, providing valuable feedback for ongoing improvement.

Conclusion

It is concluded that complicated relationships between harassment, intersectionality, and career outcomes for women exist in academia. The findings emphasize the need for targeted interventions that consider the diverse experiences of women from different ethnic backgrounds. Addressing the leaky pipeline requires a multifaceted approach, including mentorship programs, cultural sensitivity training, and institutional changes that prioritize inclusivity. By actively engaging with these challenges, academia can move towards becoming a more equitable and supportive space for all individuals, regardless of gender or background.

Acknowledgment

We would like to acknowledge all our internees at the ECD PREP Program. For their interest and dedication towards research and innovation in the early years of childhood development.

References

1. Llorens A, Tzovara A, Bellier L, Bhaya-Grossman I, Bidet-Caulet A, Chang WK, Cross ZR, Dominguez-Faus R, Flinker A, Fonken Y, Gorenstein MA. Gender bias in academia: A lifetime problem that needs solutions. *Neuron*. 2021;109(13):2047-2074.
2. Goldberg SB. Harassment, workplace culture, and the power and limits of law. *Am. UL Rev*. 2020;70:419.
3. Nichols S, Stahl G. Intersectionality in higher education research: A systematic literature review. *High Educ Res Dev*. 2019;38(6):1255-1268.
4. Gouthro P. What Counts? Examining Academic Values and Women's Life Experiences from a Critical Feminist Perspective. *CJSAE*. 2002;16(1):1-19.
5. Baer, H. Redoing feminism: Digital activism, body politics, and neoliberalism. *Feminist Media Studies*. 2016;16(1):17-34.
6. Ayyar, V. Caste-gender matrix and the promise and practice of academia. *Economic and Political Weekly*. 2017;53(50).
7. Dey, A. 'Me Too' and the 'List'—power dynamics, shame, and accountability in Indian academia. *IDS Bulletin*. 2020;51(2):63-79.
8. Acker J. Hierarchies, jobs, bodies: A theory of gendered organizations. *Gender and Society*. 1990;4(2): 139-158.
9. Ballakrishnen S., Fielding-Singh P., Magliozzi D. Intentional invisibility: Professional women and the navigation of workplace constraints. *Sociological Perspectives*. 2019;62(1):23-41.
10. Bana, Z., & Khaki, J.-e.-A. (2015). Exploring leadership practices of principals of government elementary colleges of Education in Pakistan. *International Journal of Leadership in Education*, 18(4), 464-486.
11. Bennett, C., et al. (2011). Beyond the leaky pipeline: Consolidating understanding and incorporating new research about women's science careers in the UK. *Brussels Economic Review*, 54(2/3), 149-176.
12. Connell, R. W., & Messerschmidt, J. W. (2005). Hegemonic masculinity: Rethinking the concept. *Gender & Society*, 19(6), 829-859.
13. Cook, S. G. Women presidents: Now 26.4% but still underrepresented. *Women in Higher Education*. 2012;21(5):1-3.
14. Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. 2017.
15. Crites, S. N., Dickson, K. E., & Lorenz, A. Nurturing gender stereotypes in the face of experience: A study of leader gender, leadership style, and satisfaction. *Journal of Organizational Culture, Communications and Conflict*. 2015;19(1):1-23.
16. Desai, S. D., Chugh, D., & Brief, A. P. The implications of marriage structure for men's workplace attitudes, beliefs, and behaviors toward women. *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 2014;59(2):330-365.
17. Morin ME. Women's Perceptions About Seeking Higher-Level Academic Positions: A Qualitative Narrative Study (Doctoral dissertation, Grand Canyon University)