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ABSTRACT
The objective of the study was to compare the sensitivity and specificity of ultrasound, X-ray KUB and CT for the diagnosis of ureteral colic in patients with acute flank pain. This study was carried out in Department of Radiology Sindh Institute of Urology and Transplantation (SIUT) from Aug 2010 to Nov 2010. A total of 190 patients with mean age of 35 years were included in the study. All individuals underwent pre procedural imaging X-ray KUB, U/S and CT. On these imaging modalities obstruction of urinary tract and stones were determined. X-ray KUB was done as a guide for U/S exam only. Ureteral stones were confirmed in 190 patients. Specificity for ct was 100% whereas X-ray KUB and U/S was 94% and 76% respectively. Sensitivity for CT 100%, U/S 52.6% and X-ray KUB 89.5% respectively. Some stones were not detected by U/S and few stones were not detected on X-ray KUB due to bowel gases. Cases were correctly diagnosed with all three techniques. CT is the most reliable technique for the detection of ureteric stones however, X-ray and U/S also be chosen alternative to CT with a reduce radiation dose.
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INTRODUCTION
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SYMPTOMS
The list of symptoms mentioned in various sources for urinary stones includes:

1. Hematuria
2. Nausea
3. Vomiting
4. Dysuria
5. Urination pain
6. Urinary blockage
7. Abdominal pain
8. Fever

RADIOLOGICAL DIAGNOSIS FOR URINARY TRACT STONES

Flank pain due to urolithiasis is a common problem in patients presenting to Emergency departments. Radiology plays a vital role in the work-up of these Patients. Many modalities can be used, including:

1. Ultrasonography
2. X-ray KUB
3. Computed Tomography

ULTRA-SONOGRAPHY

U/S is commonly performed as primary imaging modality in patient with acute flank pain since it is a safe, rapid, inexpensive and repeatable technique allowing the identification of stones located in the renal pelvis and calyces, US can detect renal pelvic dilation and other renal pathologies. However stones located between the PUJ and the UVJ are difficult to diagnose because of technical limitation. U/S is an operator dependent technique. The duration of U/S exams from 5 to 30 min. U/S provides no information on calcium content of stone .Considering the direct visualization of ureteral stone the sensitivity was only 19%.Considering secondary sign of ureteral stone such as calyceal dilation and ureteral dilation ,only indicate ureteral obstruction and that they are not specific for ureteral stones .Ultrason sensitivity and specificity of diagnosed stone disease and alternative condition that cause flank pain were quite low as compared to helical CT scan (Arif, 1992; Patlas, 2001)

X-RAY KUB

The X-ray film of the kidney, ureter and bladder (KUB) has a sensitivity that ranges from 45–60% in the evaluation of acute flank pain. However, while large calculi can easily be seen, confounding factors such as overlying bowel gas or fecal material and osseous structures such as transverse processes or the sacrum can easily hide small calculi. Further, in addition, a KUB cannot visualise radiolucent stones (10–20% of stones), thus limiting the value of plain radiography. However, a KUB may suffice for
assessing the size, shape, and location of urinary calculi in some patients. Advantages of X-ray KUB is that it is effective examination to follow a known radio-opaque calculus and time taken to perform a KUB is also relatively short, with an ambulant patient being able to be imaged in under two minutes.

CT SCAN DESCRIPTION

**UNENHANCED HELICAL COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY**

(CT) as an initial imaging modality for patients with acute flank pain referred for urinary stone disease management (Smith, 1995). Since then, unenhanced helical CT has been widely accepted as a rapid and accurate diagnostic imaging modality replacing other techniques. Recent studies have demonstrated that UHCT is an excellent method for demonstrating urolithiasis and obstruction in patients presenting with flank pain (Smith, 1995; Sommer, 1995). Study showed UHCT to be more effective than IVU in identifying ureteral stones. In another comparative study, Researcher noted that reformatted UHCT images are superior to US and plain radiographs (Smith, 1996). Data from our institution showed that UHCT has a sensitivity of 100% and specificity of 100% in the diagnosis of ureteric calculi. Virtually all stones are radio-opaque, and stone size can be measured accurately in cross-section, aiding in predicting outcome. Stone location, accurately depicted by UHCT, has also been associated with spontaneous stone passage rates, with the more proximal stones having a higher need for intervention (Coll, 2002). Recently, coronal reconstruction of axial CT scans have been shown to more accurately predict stone size in the craniocaudal direction, although this dimension is not critical to estimating the likelihood of stone passage (Nadler, 2004). Unenhanced helical CT is considered to be the most precise one, becoming therefore the gold standard technique. Its advantages are multiple: no need of IV contrast, it can be performed during the acute phase, it can detect extra urologic diseases, and it is fast and relatively easy to learn (Smith, 1996; Sommer, 1995 & Katz, 1997).

Nevertheless, CT has some drawbacks, the main one being ionizing radiation. It is also not always available outside hospital facilities and it has a high cost compared with that of a plain film and US (Grisi, 2000). The amount of radiation of a non-contrast helical CT is approximately ten times that of a plain film of the abdomen (Smith, 1995; Liu, 2000). Helical CT is a better technique for diagnosing ureteral calculi than all imaging studies. The sensitivity and specificity of x-ray KUB in stone detection and additional finding is relatively low range as compare to CT KUB. During the past 9 years, CT has become the standard of reference in the detection of urinary calculi due to its high sensitivity of (95% -98%) and a specificity of (96%-99 %) (Roth, 1985; Mutgi, 1991)

**AIMS AND OBJECTIVE**

The purpose of this study is to determine the diagnostic accuracy of ultrasound and X-Ray KUB in ureteric calculus taking CT pyelogram as gold standard which provided the opportunity for better treatment.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

From August 2010 to November 2010, 190 consecutive patients with acute flank pain referred for imaging evaluation of ureteric calculus. Out of 190 patients 132 males and 68 females with median age of 38 years in males and 33 years in females, age range 15 -70 yrs old. All patients are referred in radiology department from emergency department of SIUT, for acute flank pain to urinary tract X-Ray KUB, Ultrasonography, and CT pyelogram. These patients were selected on the basis of following inclusion and exclusion criteria.

**ULTRASOUND**

Sonographic studies were performed using a TOSHIBA-140A or a Power vision 5000 (Toshiba, Tokyo, Japan) and curved phased-array transducer of 3.5 MHz Patients were assessed by Consultant Radiologist.

![Figure 1 (a–c): Lower abdominal pain in a 52-year-old woman.](image)

a. Plain film shows small calcification (arrow) on the lower left pelvis.

b. Transverse US scan shows echogenic calculi at the left ureterovesical junction (arrow). Acoustic shadowing was seen in the exam.

c. A CT image depicts the stone at the same level (arrow)

**X-RAY KUB**

X-Ray KUB was obtained with conventional film or as digital images. A single anteroposterior acquisition of the whole urinary tract was obtained with the patient in supine position. No bowel preparation was used. It helped to focus the sonographic search on areas suggestive of calculus.

![Image of X-ray KUB](image)
**Figure 2(a & b):** A 55-year-old man who presented with left-side pain.

a. Sonography failed to detect calculus but shows perirenal fluid (arrows) and grade-1 hydronephrosis (not shown).

b. A CT image obtained in the middle of the left ureter shows a small ureteral lithiasis (arrow)

**NON CONTRAST ENHANCED COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY**

**PATIENT PREPARATION**

Routine protocol for UHCT examination was followed. On the day of UHCT examination, patient was ask to drink 1.5 liter of water to achieve proper hydration or distended bladder. Dressed up in loosely fitted hospital gown and all the metallic ornaments and jewellery were removed. The procedure was completely explained and breathing instructions were also given. The need to remain absolutely still was emphasized.

**UHCT IMAGING PARAMETERS AND TECHNIQUE**

**PROTOCOL**

The scan was performed by standard K.U.B protocol on 16 slice light speed pro GE machine without using contrast material. Unenhanced CT parameters dedicated for imaging evaluation of urinary stone disease in our institution. All scans were performed with this protocol.

**IMAGE ANALYSIS OF CT**

Post processed reformatted images, such as curved reformatted images and coronal oblique images, aid in communicating findings to clinicians. Measurement of stones and take it values and also measure kidneys and other pathologies in the film of CT. The possibility of other alternative diagnosis was also evaluated. The CT examinations were interpreted on hard-copy films (approximately 30-40 images). The CT images were evaluated independently by two consultant radiologists specializing in abdominal imaging.

**DATA ANALYSIS**

Data initially collected on Performa. The sensitivity and specificity of X-ray KUB, US and CT were calculated considering first truly positives only the cases in which a ureteral lithiasis was clearly seen. The CT results were also calculated by the two observers separately.

**RESULTS**

Data was collected from the Sindh Institute of Urology and Transplantation (SIUT). SIUT is the largest public sector health organization in the Pakistan which provides free, comprehensive and modern medical care in kidney diseases and transplantation to all. One hundred and ninety cases of the patients had confirmed ureteric colic. Ureteral lithiasis seen with all imaging techniques (n=190). X-ray KUB detected 170 lithiasis, US detected 100 lithiasis and CT detected 190. Specificity of CT was 100%, US was 76% whereas, X-ray KUB was 94%, sensitivity for CT 100%, US 52.6% and X-ray KUB 89.5% respectively (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of modality</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>52.6%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-RAY</td>
<td>89.5%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table: 1 Showing sensitivity & specificity of different modalities

The location of the lithiasis in the 190 patients with definitive diagnosis of renal colic was: 77 in the proximal ureter (40.5%); 23 in the mid ureter (12%); 90 in the distal ureter (47.5%) (Table-2). Cases were correctly diagnosed with all three techniques.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proximal</th>
<th>Mid</th>
<th>Distal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.5%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>47.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table: 2 showing Number & percentages of ureteric stones and locations.

**DISCUSSION**

Our research confirms that unenhanced helical CT is an extremely accurate tool in the evaluation of acute flank pain. Comparing CT with combined sonography and X-ray KUB, we found that CT was significantly superior in diagnosing ureterolithiasis and had a similar accuracy in diagnosing alternative causes of pain. Recently, several articles have been published comparing the efficacy of
different imaging techniques in the diagnosis of acute flank pain. Since 1995, unenhanced helical CT is considered to be the most precise one (Smith RC 1996; Sommer FG 1995; Dalrymple, et al. 1998; Katz DS 1997; Sourtezis S 1999), becoming therefore the gold standard technique. CT images are superior to US and X-ray KUB. Its advantages are multiple: no need of i.v. contrast, it can be performed during the acute phase, it can detect extraroulogic diseases, and it is fast and relatively easy to learn (Somer, 1995; Dalrymple, 1998; Katz, 1997). CT has some drawbacks, the main one being ionizing radiation. It is also not always available outside hospital facilities and it has a high cost compared with that of an X-ray KUB and US (Grisi, 2000). The amount of radiation of a non-contrast helical CT is approximately ten times that of an X-ray KUB (Smith, 1995; Liu, 2000). The results of our study confirm that CT is a very good technique for visualizing lithiasis in the urinary tract, with significantly better results than US and X-ray KUB. Unenhanced CT detected 190 ureteral lithiasis (100%), whereas US detected only 100 of 190 (52.6%) and X-ray detected 170 of 190 (89.5%). The sensitivity of the X-ray KUB for detecting lithiasis in our study was 89.5%, within the range of other authors (75–90%). Despite its low sensitivity and nonspecificity, X-ray KUB is very useful since it guides the sonographer towards areas suggestive of urinary calculi, shortening the overall examination time and improving its results. We only considered as positive the lithiasis seen by US, since calculations on abdominal films can be misleading. (Somer, 1995; Yilmaz, 1998 & Catalano, 2002). Observation of hydrenphrosis on the symptomatic side strongly suggests obstruction caused by a stone (Haddad, 1994; Erwin, 1984) however, the size of the stone is one of the arguments in favour of CT, since it determines the type of treatment (calculi >6 mm usually need interventional techniques) (Boulay, 1999). It is a common opinion that ureteral stones are not seen by US (Boulay, 1999; Foley, 2000) however, this has been refuted by author who report visualizing ureteral lithiasis in up to 94% of cases. A careful technique allows to explore the ureter especially in the presence of hydrenphrosis, ureteral dilatation, or a full bladder. The most difficult portion to visualize is the mid of the ureter, due to interference from bowel gas. It is possible with US to see lithiasis in the distal ureter with full bladder. We agree with the assumption that a well-distended bladder will increase the detection of lithiasis in the distal ureter (Erwin, 1984) Stone detection was directly related to stone size. Some stones missed by US were small. Small calculi (<3 mm) may fail to demonstrate acoustic shadowing. The examination time which is one of the disadvantages of US when compared with CT. Examination time for CT ranges between 5 and 15 min, whereas US takes from 5 to 30 min (Yilmaz, 1998; Sheafor, 2000 & Catalano, 2002). It is therefore imperative to shorten the US examination time to make it competitive with CT. CT was considered good similar to other published results, with sensitivities of 89 and 93% for both readers (Freed, 1998). Computed tomography sometimes mistake a ureteral calculus for a phlebolith (Katz, 1997; Guest, 2001). The fact that all lithiasis was confirmed by CT or by expulsion (specificity 100%), shows that the US and X-ray KUB results were not influenced by the higher prevalence of lithiasis of our series.

On the other hand, our results indicate that in a setting of a negative X-ray KUB and US exam, an unenhanced CT will not add useful information. In these cases, CT would have only added unnecessary cost and radiation exposure (unenhanced CT did not depict any additional nonurologic abnormality in our series). Besides, all of our patients with obstructive signs and no calculus seen had a favourable outcome with conservative treatment; therefore, in our institution urologists start requesting an X-ray KUB and a US exam when a renal colic is suspected, leaving CT for patients with a negative US who do not improve with conservative treatment, patients in whom a nonurologic process is suspected, and finally, in cases where a surgical decision has to be taken.

Figure 3(a–d): Acute left flank pain in a 43-year-old man.

a. Plain film was misinterpreted as a possible calculus in the distal left ureter.

b. Longitudinal sonogram shows a calculus (arrow) in the distal ureter (u), near the bladder.

c. A CT image reveals a stone with soft-tissue rim sign in the left side of the pelvis.

d. A CT image obtained at a slightly lower position demonstrates a phlebolith corresponding to the calcification depicted on the preliminary plain film.

Figure 4 (a–d): Acute left flank pain in a 37-year-old man.

a. Abdominal radiograph shows calcification suggestive of ureteral stone (arrow) along the course of the middle left ureter.

b. Longitudinal US proves that the image of the plain film is a stone (arrow) that produces slight dilatation of the upper left ureter.

c & d. A CT scan at different levels show two hyperdense, ill defined images (arrows) in the expected course of the left ureter with secondary signs of ureteral obstruction in a thin patient with...
little intrabdominal fat. A similar image was seen at contralateral side (not shown). These images were misinterpreted as artifacts.

**CONCLUSION**

In clinical practice X-ray KUB and U/S have almost similar patient outcome than CT, since the stones that are missed by U/S are usually small and should pass spontaneously and some stones are missed by X-ray KUB are usually improper bowel preparation. The choice depends on the availability of each technique and the experience of the Radiologist. The CT will detect more lithiasis, but the combination of X-ray KUB and U/S, with a bit of dedication, will obtain similar practical results, with lower X-ray dose to the patient. The CT should be reserved for those patients with clinical symptoms of a major colic who have had a negative U/S and X-ray KUB.
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